arXiv:1703.07119v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 21 Mar 2017

Terahertz cascades from a nanoparticle

K.B. Arnardottir* and T.C.H. Liew
Division of Physics and Applied Physics, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, 637371 Singapore
(Dated: March 22, 2017)

In this letter we propose a new system capable of THz radiation with quantum efficiency above unity. The system consists of nanoparticles where the material composition varies along the radial direction of each nanoparticle in such a way that a ladder of equidistant energy levels emerges. By then exciting the highest level of this ladder we produce multiple photons of the same frequency in the THz range.

The lack of terahertz (THz) frequency electromagnetic transitions in typical materials allows THz frequency radiation to pass through many materials freely without causing ionization, which is well-known to lead to a variety of applications ranging from medical imaging and security screening to supply chain management. At the same time, the limited interaction between THz radiation and many materials limits the possibilities for generating THz radiation for those same applications that require transparency.

As semiconductors have grown to become the system of choice for the generation of optical radiation, they have also been seen as prime candidates for generating THz radiation, albeit with quite different physical mechanisms. Typically one needs to find and excite a low energy THz transition available in the system, and a good place to look is at the lowest energy semiconductor excitations, namely excitons. In the same way that a hydrogen atom can emit at optical wavelengths, the larger excitons can emit THz radiation by making a 2p-1s transition [1–3]. Other methods using excitons have been based on coupling to light resulting in the THz frequency splitting of hybrid light-matter modes (exciton-polaritons) [4, 5], which can exhibit transitions in asymmetric systems [6–8] or via the mixing of different varieties of excitons [9, 10]. There are also proposals which do not use excitons to achieve THz radiation, such as the recently realized system of a low-cost metallic heterostructure [11].

The aforementioned methods are highly promising as they appear in compact structures (quantum wells). They also fall into a broad class of methods that can be summarized as aiming to convert a relatively high energy quantum (such as an optical photon) into a much lower energy THz photon. In the ideal case this is achieved with unit quantum efficiency. In terms of energy efficiency the generation of THz radiation then becomes expensive given the large imbalance of optical energies put into the system and THz energies coming out. To do better than unit quantum efficiency one needs to make use of cascaded processes [12–15], where a single input quantum can generate many THz photons. Indeed devices based on quantum cascade lasers achieve the very best efficiencies in the THz field [16], while they are typically considered bulky systems.

In this work we aim to merge the concept of THz cascades based on fermionic transitions in semiconductor heterostructures with the concept of using artificial atoms. Instead of using excitons as artificial atoms we consider semiconductor nanoparticles, which lie among the most compact of man-made systems. It has been suggested that the alloy composition of such nanoparticles can be varied spatially [17, 18]. We show that in principle this leads to the possibility of engineering multiple transitions with the same THz range frequency in a single nanoparticle. To obtain a suitable alloy composition profile, we introduce a numerical optimization algorithm, inspired by learning algorithms for neural networks. We calculate the optimized THz transition rates for a typical GaAlAs based system. Considering a collection of nanoparticles in a compact cavity, quantum efficiencies greater than unity are readily obtained.

Model.— The system we consider consists of a spherical nanoparticle of radius R with a hydrogenic impurity in the center [18–20], as seen in Fig. 1. The material of the nanoparticle varies along the radial direction r.

This can be physically achieved by varying the alloy composition, for example, $Ga_{1-x}Al_xAs$ where the aluminium fraction x varies along r. For simplicity, in both calculations and fabrication, we take the particle to be spherically symmetric. The inhomogeneity means that the effective mass of electrons in the dot, μ, and the electric permittivity, ϵ, will both vary along r. In a $Ga_{1-x}Al_xAs$ this leads to a linear dependence: $\epsilon(x) = 12.9(1 - 0.22x)$ and $\mu(x) = 0.063(1 + 1.32x)m_0$, where m_0 is the free electron mass [17]. This means that the Hamiltonian will effectively be a functional of the function $x(r)$:

$$H[x(r)] = \frac{\hbar}{2\mu_x}\nabla^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_x\epsilon_0 r}, \tag{1}$$

where the wavefunction, ψ, must fulfil the boundary condition $\psi(r) = 0$ for $r \geq R$.

Now, we want to find a function $x(r)$ which leads to a ladder of transitions of a particular frequency in the THz range. To do this we need to fix the nanoparticle radius R, the coveted frequency ω_{THz} and a set of levels to be shifted, $\{L_i\}$. Note that these levels need to obey



FIG. 1. a) We consider a nanoparticle with a hydrogenic impurity in the center and whos material composition changes along the radius r. This means that an ion of charge $+e$ is located in the center and electrons in the dot will feel a modified Coulomb potential. Our goal is to find parameters that can result in a ladder of equidistant energy transitions that result in radiation in the Terahertz range. b) When multiple such particles are embedded into a cavity resonant to the resonant frequency of the ladder of transitions, i.e. $\lambda_{THz} = 2\pi c/\omega_{THz}$ where $\hbar\omega_{THz}$ is the energy of the transitions, we can enhance the transition between the chosen levels through stimulated emission.

the selection rule $\Delta\ell = \pm 1$, where ℓ is the orbital angular momentum, so as to give a possibility to excite the highest state easily.

To find $x(r)$ we make use of variational calculus. The energy of level i can be written as a functional of r and $x(r)$:

$$E_i = \int \underbrace{\psi_i^* H[x(r)]\psi_i}_{L(r,x(r))} d^3r, \tag{2}$$

where ψ_i is the wavefunction of level i. From this we can calculate how to vary the function x to achieve a desired shift in each energy level. We take $x \to x + \delta x$ where the variation is on the form

$$\delta x = \beta C \Delta E \frac{\delta L}{\delta x}, \tag{3}$$

with $C^{-1} = \int \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta x}\right)^2 d^3r$ as a normalization constant and $\Delta E = E_{ideal} - E_i$ where E_{ideal} is the energy we want to shift level i to coincide with. The factor $\beta \leq 1$ can be tuned to keep the variation small for each step of the iteration so that the system gradually relaxes to an optimum condition. This is then repeated for each level in the chosen level set $\{L_i\}$. This algorithm can then be iterated until each energy level E_i is sufficiently close to the ideal energy.

After we have found parameters that give agreeable results we can then use the final wavefunctions to calculate the dipole moment corresponding to available transitions in the system. We then set up rate equations coupling the occupations of the levels as well as the THz photon mode. By introducing a finite lifetime for the photon mode we can simulate putting the nanoparticle inside a cavity resonant to the THz frequency of the photons. Furthermore, we can modify the rate equations to have multiple particles inside the cavity.

Results and discussion.— We choose the radius R so that the transition energies between the level set $\{L_i\}$ are as close to the coveted energy $\hbar\omega_{THz}$ as needed. When choosing the sets of levels to consider we limit ourselves to the case where the highest level is a p state (angular momentum $\ell = 1$) so that it can be excited by a laser from the ground state. Once the values of R, ω_{THz} and the level set have been chosen, we apply the aforementioned iterative approach to find a function $x(r)$ that modifies the energy levels to approach an equidistant ladder.

The function $x(r)$ calculated from $R = 200$ nm, ω_{THz} =0.4 THz and $\{L_i\} = \{3s, 4p, 5d, 6f, 6d, 6p\}$ can be seen in Fig. 2a for different numbers of iterations. Our simple iterative procedure does not guarantee finding a global optimum to the problem at hand, but it can efficiently find a local optimum for a well-chosen initial condition. Fig. 2b shows the radial parts of the wavefunctions corresponding to the energy levels forming the ladder. For clarity the intensity of the wavefunctions scaled by r^2 is plotted ($|\psi|^2 r^2$ is proportional to the intensity of a given state at particular r integrated over the angular coordinates). Fig. 3 shows the modified levels for the same parameters. The thick, red lines are the chosen set of levels which are to form the equidistant ladder. The black, solid arrows correspond to transitions resonant with the coveted frequency ω_{THz} while the gray, dashed arrows are possible transitions which result in radiation of a different frequency and therefore lead to loss in our system.

Using the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) we can calculate the transition rates between the possible transitions. The transition rate between two states labelled with i and j respectively, can be written [21]

$$W_{ij} = \frac{\alpha^4 c}{6a_B^*}\left(\frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{Ry^*}\right)^3 \left(\frac{|\langle i|\mathbf{r}|j\rangle|}{a_B^*}\right)^2, \tag{4}$$

where α is the fine structure constant, a_B^* and Ry^* are the effective Bohr radius and Rydberg energy for GaAs and ΔE_{ij} is the energy difference between the levels i and j.

With the transition rates known we can write out rate equations for the modes in our system. With n_i denoting the occupation of level i and n_γ the occupation of the photon mode, we have



FIG. 2. (Color online) The upper graph shows the function $x(r)$, which describes the Al concentration in a GaAlAs nanoparticle, for three different numbers of iterations of the algorithm described in this letter. The lighter, dashed line corresponds to x after a single iteration, while the dash-dotted line is the function after more. The final result is obtained after about 100 iterations, and can be seen as a dark, solid line. The resulting $x(r)$ gives rise to an equidistant ladder of transitions for previously chosen energy levels. The lower part of the graph depicts the radial part of the wavefunctions of said levels.
The parameters used were for a $Ga_{1-x}Al_xAs$ nanoparticle of radius R =200 nm, where the energy difference between the selected levels corresponds to radiation frequency of ω_{THz} = 0.4 THz.

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \sum_{j>i} W_{ij}\left[n_j(1-n_i)(s_{ij}n_\gamma+1) - n_i(1-n_j)s_{ij}n_\gamma\right] + \sum_{j<i} W_{ij}\left[n_j(1-n_i)s_{ij}n_\gamma - n_i(1-n_j)(s_{ij}n_\gamma+1)\right] - \frac{n_i}{\tau_i}, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dn_\gamma}{dt} = N_{QD}\sum_{i,j>i} s_{ij}W_{ij}\left[(n_j-n_i)n_\gamma + n_j(1-n_i)\right] - \frac{n_\gamma}{\tau_\gamma}, \quad (6)$$



FIG. 3. (Color online) The energy levels in a quantum dot after using the recursive algorithm discussed in text. The chosen set of levels that make the equidistant ladder are denoted by thick red lines while other levels in the system are thinner, blue lines. The transitions shown by black, solid arrows are all of a single transition energy corresponding to ω_{THz} =0.4 THz, while the gray, dashed arrows correspond to transitions of different energies and lead to a loss in the system. Other transitions are forbidden due to selection rules.

where the levels are ordered in terms of increasing energy, E_i, and $s_{ij} = 1$ if $|E_i - E_j| = E_\gamma$ and $s_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

where τ_γ is the photon lifetime and τ_1 the effective lifetime of the lowest state in the ladder. The former depends on the quality of the THz cavity while latter will depend on the transition dipole moment of the state 1 and the ground state of the nanoparticle. N_{QD} is the number of particles in the THz cavity.

We can now use these rate equations to calculate the quantum efficiency (QE), or how many THz photon we produce each time we excite the nanoparticle to the highest level of the ladder, L_m. When we consider multiple particles in the same cavity we increase the amount of THz photons and therefore increase the probability of stimulated scattering between the transitions marked with solid black arrows in Fig. 3. Fig. 4 shows how the QE depends on N_{QD} for 2 parameter sets. The solid blue line corresponds to the same parameters used in previous figures while the dashed red line corresponds to a particle of radius R = 120 nm, radiation ω_{THz} = 2.4 THz. It's not surprising to see that the QE generally increases with increasing N_{QD}, but the latter curve (dashed red line) ex-



FIG. 4. (Color online) The relationship between the quantum efficiency (QE) and the number of quantum dots in the THz cavity (N_{QD}). The QE describes how many THz photons we get from the system for each excitation to the highest state. The solid, blue line corresponds to the same parameters as in Figs. 3 and 2 while the dashed, red line corresponds to a nanoparticle of radius R =120 nm and radiation of frequency $\omega_{THz} = 2.4$ THz.

hibits a slight dip for low N_{QD}s. This is related to the fact that while the probability of stimulated emission is increased for each of the THz transitions, the likelihood of photons being absorbed also increases. In certain circumstances this will raise the chances of the event where an electron in one of the lower levels absorbs multiple photons and then relaxes in a non-resonant transition. This leads to a lower QE but can always be overpowered by increasing N_{QD} further.

As we see in Fig. 4 the QE for the selected parameters can reach 3.5.

Conclusion.— We have proposed a new source for THz radiation, a nanoparticle whos material composition changes along the radial direction. The composition is chosen in a way that gives rise to an equidistant ladder of transitions in the THz range. Using this approach we can achieve quantum efficiency far exceeding unity. Here we show numerical calculations for $Ga_{1-x}Al_xAs$ nanoparticles to verify our claims, where we find that the quantum efficiency reaches 3.5 for multiple nanoparticles in a terahertz cavity.

The work was supported by the MOE AcRF Tier 1 Grant No. 2016-T1-1-084 and MOE AcRF Tier 2 Grant No. 2015-T2-1-055.

* KRISTINB001@e.ntu.edu.sg

[1] A V Kavokin, I A Shelykh, T Taylor, & M M Glazov, Phys. Rev. Lett., **108**, 197401 (2012).
[2] M A Kaliteevski, K A Ivanov, G Pozina, & A J Gallant, Sci. Rep., **4**, 5444 (2014).
[3] J M Menard, C Poellmann, M Porer, U Leierseder, E Galopin, A Lemaitre, A Amo, J Bloch, & R Huber, Nature Comm., **5**, 4648 (2014).
[4] E Del Valle & A Kavokin, Phys. Rev. B, **83**, 193303 (2011).
[5] I G Savenko, I A Shelykh, & M A Kaliteevski, Phys. Rev. Lett., **107**, 027401 (2011).
[6] S De Liberato, C Ciuti, & C C Phillips, Phys. Rev. B, **87**, 241304(R) (2013).
[7] S Huppert, O Lafont, E Baudin, J Tignon, & R Ferreira, Phys. Rev. B, **90**, 241302 (2014).
[8] F Barachati, S De Liberato, & S Kena-Cohen, Phys. Rev. A, **92**, 033828 (2015).
[9] O Kyriienko, A V Kavokin, & I A Shelykh, Phys. Rev. Lett., **111**, 176401 (2013).
[10] K Kristinsson, O Kyriienko, T C H Liew, & I A Shelykh, Phys. Rev. B, **88**, 245303 (2013).
[11] Y Wu, M Elyasi, X Qiu, M Chen, Y Liu, L Ke, & H Yang, Adv. Mater., **29**, 1603031 (2017).
[12] R Kohler, A Tredicucci, F Beltram, H E Beere, E H Linfield, A Giles Davies, D A Ritchie, R C Lotti, & F Rossi, Nature, **417**, 156 (2002).
[13] A Tzimis, A V Trifonov, G Christmann, S I Tsintzos, Z Hatzopoulos, I V Ignatiev, A V Kavokin, & P G Savvidis, Appl. Phys. Lett., **107**, 101101 (2015).
[14] T C H Liew, M M Glazov, K V Kavokin, I A Shelykh, M A Kaliteevski, & A V Kavokin, Phys. Rev. Lett., **110**, 047402 (2013).
[15] M A Belkin & F Capasso, Phys. Scr. **90**, 118002 (2015).
[16] J Liu, J Chen, T Wang, Y Li, F Liu, L Li, L Wang, & Z Wang, Solid State Comm., **81**, 68 (2013).
[17] S Aktas & F K Boz, Physica E, **40**, 753 (2008).
[18] A S Baimuratov, I D Rukhlenko, V K Turkov, I O Ponomareva, M Y Leonov, T S Perova, K Berwick, A V Baranov, & A V Fedorov, Sci. Rep., **4**, 6917 (2014).
[19] D S Chuu, C M Hsiao, & W N Mai, Phys. Rev. B, **46**, 3898 (1992).
[20] C-Y H & D-S Chuu, J.Phys.:Condens. Matter **12**, 8641 (2000).
[21] C Cohen-Tannoudji, J Dupont-Roc, & G Grynberg,*Photons and Atoms: Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics*, (Wiley, 1997)